CCC Council Call Notes
February 12, 2019

Attending: Mark, David, Jen, Juliana, Ann, Peter, Helen, Sampath, Liz, Dan, Keith, Andy, Nina, Ian, Holly, Suresh, Khari, Ben

- Industry Report
    - Ben- hopefully release in the next couple of weeks, we have also shared the report with 8 other external people, general idea is that people are OK with the message and that we have covered the message.
    - Nina- What percentage are joint appointments?
        - Ben- Make the best of it, long term of how universities operate
    - Mark- When should we send it to people outside of computer science?
        - Ben- I want to get feedback from people on the council, make sure people agree with the message
    - Sampath- Are there different kinds of appointments?
        - Ben- Lablets- shared research faculties with shared faculty. The material is published. We didn't go into a lot of detail with people, with IP.
    - Dan- At my school we have rules that are almost set in stone with appointments; perhaps the CRA the best practices memo could have something in it.
        - Ben- We do want to offer a broad view of common things that are done, this might be something CRA does in the future or they might already be going down this path
    - Beth- Frame this as "Computing research" and not as "computer science research". Walk through the sections and making sure there is a bit more balance (big problems that include scale- industry vs. small problems- academics)
    - Nina- "eating their seed corn" perhaps needs to be reworked.
    - Beth- Different perspectives lead to different models
        - Ben- the research agenda shouldn't be considered all negative
    - Beth- Put more to the call to action, there is going to be a desere for new best practices (not sure if it should be CCC or CRA).
    - Keith- Could draft a CCC blog with two perspectives and see the reaction
        - Ben- yea that is a good one
- AI Update
    - Ann- AI update, including AAAS townhall, last week we meet with DARPA and NSF. DARPA said an AI office would be good, but might be a target. So AI at various programs throughout DARPA would be good.
    - Peter- the AI Executive Order is pretty high level but it sets the tasks for what funding we can focus to the goal, it is written to how we would have written it, the 5 principals are right within the framework. It is nice to have a document from the administration perspective. We have got a nice window

of opportunity. We have 6 months of work with the agencies to see what their plan is. Congress is also interested in moving something on AI research. Hopefully AI roadmap will be a part of that as well

- Suresh- What about the international perspective?
  - o Peter- That is the one issue we could have it.
  - o Ann- That is one issue DARPA had with this.
- Strategic Plan
  - o Mark- We needed to update it, it will become a new direction
  - o Liz- We are working with the community to move things forward
  - o Mark- "The future is already here, it is just unevenly distributed"
  - o Beth- Might want to talk about what council members do to inact this plan, as well as have some text about onboarding
  - o Mark- If we are adding the duties to the end, instead of sprinkling them out
- Task Force Highlights
  - o Code 8.7
    - ▪ Dan- Collaborating with colleagues from the united kingdom, we will be thinking about what we can do from the Computing perspective
  - o Content Generation for Workforce Training
    - ▪ Sent out invites, working on agenda
  - o Roundtable
    - ▪ Anyone invited, day after council meeting
  - o Fairness
    - ▪ May at Harvard
    - ▪ Working on finding speakers then sending invitation for participants
  - o Post-Quantum Crypto Workshop
    - ▪ Short workshop- too short, lets not do that again
- CISE AD Position
  - o CCC will reblog it on Wednesday